

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/028,129	Applicant(s) LANDRY-COLTRAIN ET AL.
	Examiner Pamela R. Schwartz	Art Unit 1774

All Participants:

(1) Pamela R. Schwartz.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Ms. Blank.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8 September 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Rejections over Okumura et al.

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Okumura et al.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Clarification of the showings was discussed. It was noted that the limitation at the end of claim 1 is based upon the showings in the original specification and statistical calculations provided in applicant's third Declaration. In order to clarify the specification as to the support for the claim language, applicants will incorporate their statistical calculations into the specification without the introduction of new matter. .